

Section 2 - CYP Priority Indicators - March 2010

Key: AY = academic year; FY = financial year; RAG = red/amber/green. SE = South East regional average

NISRef	Indicator Name	RAG Status	Direction of Travel	AY 2007/8 FY 2008/9 Result	AY 2008/9 FY 2009/10 Result	AY 2008/9 FY 2009/10 Target	National Result	Stat Neighbours	Latest Position	Latest Position Date	Commentary
NI56a	Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6 (%)	03) Green (PCT)	Better	17.7	16.4	17.6	18.3				Results have improved although the change is not statistically significant
NI56b	Coverage - obesity among primary school age children in Year 6 (%)	03) Green (PCT)	Worse	90.8	89.5	88.0					A good proportion of children were measured, the drop in performance is very marginal
NI65	Children becoming the subject of Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time (%)	03) Green	Better	17.5	14.7	11.0	12.5	11.5	12.1	Jan-10	Some re-registrations are essential in responding to adverse changes in circumstance. Performance between 10 and 15% is considered appropriate, so we are within the acceptable range
NI59	Initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral (%)	01) Red	Worse	89.8		66.9	72.9	66.9	80.0	Jan-10	This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report
NI70	Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and deliberate injuries to children and young people	03) Green	Better	112.7	146.8	132.2	123.9	131.0	84.0	Dec-09	This remains on track. The new Joint Commissioning Group (BHCC/PCT) will be discussing this issue to ensure a co-ordinated strategic response in light of detailed analysis
NI69	Children who have experienced bullying	03) Green	Better	51.0	25.6		28.8	31.3	10.5		The Tellus 3 result (51%) is considered to be inflated due to the way the question was phrased. The recent result (25.6%) is a more accurate indication, and comparative performance is good
NI92	Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest	02) Amber	Better	35.9	32.2	28.3	33.9	34.0			The LA had been set a very challenging target of 28.3% for 2009. Although we have not reached this target we are pleased that we have narrowed the gap significantly since last year, from 35.9% in 2008 to 32.2% this year.
NI79	Achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19	03) Green (Ofsted)	-	75.7		78.0	72.4	73.3			2009 data is due for release on March 18th 2010
NI102a	Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at KS2	02) Amber	Better	23.6	23.0	first targets set for AY 2009/10	24.2 (2008)	24.7 (2008)			This was 23.6 percentage points in 2008 and narrowed very slightly to 23 percentage points in 2009.
NI75	Achievement of 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and in Maths (%)	01) Red	Same	44.5	44.5	48.0	50.7	47.9			This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report
NI102b	Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at KS4	03) Green	Better	30.1	26.0	first targets set for AY 2009/10	27.4 (2008)	30.1 (2008)			This narrowed from 30.1 percentage points in 2008 to 26.0 percentage points in 2009.

Where Ofsted have data as recent as is available locally we have shown their RAG rating, otherwise the RAG rating is locally determined by either the PCT or the CPT

Section 2 - CYP Priority Indicators - March 2010

Key: AY = academic year; FY = financial year; RAG = red/amber/green. SE = South East regional average

NISRef	Indicator Name	RAG Status	Direction of Travel	AY 2007/8 FY 2008/9 Result	AY 2008/9 FY 2009/10 Result	AY 2008/9 FY 2009/10 Target	National Result	Stat Neighbours	Latest Position	Latest Position Date	Commentary
NI104	The SEN/non-SEN gap - achieving Key Stage 2 English and Maths threshold	01) Red	Worse	48.3	54.3	first targets set for AY 2009/10	51 (2008)	52 (2008)	provisional (2009 figure from ks2 LA database)	Dec-09	This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report
NI105	The SEN/non-SEN gap - achieving 5 A*-C GCSE inc. English and Maths	03) Green	Better	47.3	43.8	first targets set for AY 2009/10	44.5 (2008)	46.3 (2008)			There have been fluctuations in the results for this measure, but this year the gap has narrowed from 47.3 percentage points in 2008 to 43.8 in 2009.
NI143	Young people within the Youth Justice System receiving a conviction in court who are sentenced to custody	03) Green	-	11.1		6.0	5.7	4.3 (SE)	3.6	Sep-09	The service ranks 38th in England out of 155 for this measure
NI110	Young people's participation in positive activities	03) Green	Better	64.2	65.6	To be set	65.8	65.1			Performance has improved since the previous survey and is inline with comparator results
NI112	% change in the under 18 conception rate (per 1000)	02) Amber (Ofsted)	Better	-10.1		-36.4	-9.8	-8.5			This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report
NI148	Care leavers at 19 - in education, employment and training	03) Green	Better	63.9	67.6	To be set	65.1	59.3			
NI115	Reduce substance misuse by young people	01) Red	Worse	12.7	16.5	To be set	9.8	10.7			This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report
NI116	Proportion of children in poverty	01) Red	Better	21.5	20.3	-0.2	19.2	12.9 (SE)			This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report
NI117	% 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment (NEET)	01) Red	Worse	7.8	8.8	7.6	6.9	7.6			This indicator is included in the section 1 highlight report

Note on statistical neighbours:

The statistical neighbour model provides one method for benchmarking progress. For each local authority (LA), the model designates a number of other LAs deemed to have similar characteristics. These designated LAs are known as statistical neighbours. Any LA may compare its performance (as measured by various indicators) against its statistical neighbours to provide an initial guide as to whether their performance is above or below the level that might be expected

Our statistical neighbours are:

Bristol, City of
Bournemouth
Reading
Portsmouth
Sheffield
Southampton
Bath and North East Somerset
Southend-on-Sea
York
Plymouth

Where Ofsted have data as recent as is available locally we have shown their RAG rating, otherwise the RAG rating is locally determined by either the PCT or the CYPIT